Recommendations to Reduce the Risk of Transmission of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) by Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products (HCT/Ps)

Draft Guidance for Industry

This guidance document is for comment purposes only.

Submit one set of either electronic or written comments on this draft guidance by the date provided in the *Federal Register* notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to https://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. You should identify all comments with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

Additional copies of this guidance are available from the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (OCOD), 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 3128, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010, or email ocod@fda.hhs.gov, or from the Internet at https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances.

For questions on the content of this guidance, contact OCOD at the phone numbers or email address listed above.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
January 2025

Table of Contents

Recommendations to Reduce the Risk of Transmission of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) by Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products (HCT/Ps)

Draft Guidance for Industry

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

We, FDA or Agency, are issuing this guidance to assist you, establishments making donor eligibility determinations,[1] in understanding the requirements in Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations, part 1271, subpart C (21 CFR part 1271, subpart C). The regulations under 21 CFR part 1271, subpart C set out requirements for determining donor eligibility, including donor screening and testing, for donors of human cells, tissues, or cellular or tissue-based products (HCT/Ps).[2]

This guidance applies to human cells and tissues recovered on or after May 25, 2005, the effective date of the regulations contained in 21 CFR part 1271, subpart C, and provides recommendations to reduce the risk of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) by HCT/Ps. This guidance updates information regarding HIV risk included in the guidance entitled "Eligibility Determination for Donors of Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products (HCT/Ps), Guidance for Industry," dated August 2007 (August 2007 HCT/P DE Guidance), by revising recommendations for: 1) donor screening that includes reducing certain time-based risk factors and conditions; 2) assessing every HCT/P donor for HIV risk using the same individual risk-based questions regardless of sex; and 3) use of an FDA-licensed donor screening test that includes detection of anti-HIV-1 group O and removing the recommendation to screen HCT/P donors for HIV-1 group O risk.

In addition, as described further below, we recommend establishments determine to be ineligible any potential HCT/P donors taking medications to treat or prevent HIV infection (e.g., antiretroviral therapy (ART), pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), and post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP)). FDA-approved antiretroviral drugs are safe and effective and can reduce the HIV viral

[1] See 21 CFR 1271.50.

[2] HCT/Ps are defined in 21 CFR 1271.3(d) as "articles containing or consisting of human cells or tissues that are intended for implantation, transplantation, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient."

load of individuals to undetectable levels as determined by nucleic acid tests (NAT). However, these antiretroviral drugs do not fully eliminate the virus from the body, and donated HCT/Ps from individuals infected with HIV taking ART can potentially still transmit HIV to a recipient. Further, the use of PrEP and PEP may delay detection of HIV by currently licensed screening tests, potentially resulting in false negative results.

When finalized, this guidance will provide specific recommendations for HCT/P donor testing and screening for risk associated with HIV infection and supersede information regarding HIV risk in the August 2007 HCT/P DE Guidance.

In general, FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the FDA's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word should in FDA's guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

II. BACKGROUND

HIV is a retrovirus that is a major global public health problem (Refs. 1-3). In 2022, an estimated 1.3 million new cases of HIV were diagnosed, and an estimated 39 million people were infected with HIV worldwide (Ref. 1). At the end of 2022, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated approximately 1.1 million people 13 years of age and older were living with diagnosed HIV infection in the United States (U.S.) and six territories and freely associated states (Ref. 4). In addition, it was estimated that 158,300 people 13 years of age and older had HIV infections that had not been diagnosed (Ref. 5).

There are two types of HIV (Refs. 2-3, 6). HIV, type 1 (i.e., HIV-1) accounts for the majority of HIV infections that occur globally and has 40 to 60% amino acid homology with HIV, type 2 (i.e., HIV-2) (Ref. 6). Within HIV-1 are different groups (i.e., groups M, N, and O). HIV-1 group O is common in Africa (Ref. 6), but there have been a few cases of HIV-1 group O reported outside of Africa. HIV-2 is less prevalent than HIV-1 but remains an important cause of disease in certain regions of the world where it is endemic (Refs. 2-3, 7). HIV-2 occurs primarily in West Africa, but an increasing number of cases have been recognized in the U.S., Europe, and India (Refs. 2-3, 7).

The clinical features of primary acute HIV infection, also referred to as acute retroviral syndrome, can be variable and many patients are asymptomatic or have limited symptoms (Ref. 6). Newly infected patients with HIV who are asymptomatic or who have non-specific symptoms may not seek medical attention (Ref. 6). The most common clinical manifestations and physical findings in acute HIV infection are fever, lymphadenopathy, sore throat, rash, myalgia/arthralgia, diarrhea, weight loss, and headache (Refs. 8-13). Neurologic manifestations (neuritis, encephalitis, meningitis, paresis, paresthesia, vertigo), keratitis, oral ulcers, and opportunistic infections have also been reported (Refs. 8-13). Untreated chronic infection can

lead to Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) and if left untreated, HIV/AIDS can be associated with high morbidity and mortality (Refs. 1-6).

III. DISCUSSION

In the Federal Register of May 25, 2004 (69 FR 29786), FDA issued a final rule entitled "Eligibility Determination for Donors of Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products" (21 CFR part 1271, subpart C), which took effect on May 25, 2005. In this final rule, FDA identified HIV-1 and HIV-2 as relevant communicable disease agents or diseases (RCDADs) under 21 CFR 1271.3(r)(1). Thus, for donors of HCT/Ps recovered on or after May 25, 2005, screening and testing for HIV-1 and HIV-2 is required (21 CFR 1271.75(a)(1)(i) and 1271.85(a)(1-2)). Specific tests for HIV and donor screening for specific risk factors and conditions associated with HIV infection, have been recommended for HCT/P donors in order to adequately and appropriately reduce risk of transmission. Specific recommendations for donor testing and screening for risk associated with HIV were issued in the August 2007 HCT/P DE Guidance.

A. Risk of Transmission

There is a risk of transmission of HIV by HCT/Ps. This is supported by reported cases of HIV transmission via transfusion of blood products, by organ transplantation, and from the use of HCT/Ps. Although HIV was initially identified in the early 1980's in men who have sex with men (MSM) and associated with male-to-male sexual contact, it was soon identified that HIV could be transmitted in other ways, including by transfusion of blood products, infusion of clotting factor concentrates to individuals with hemophilia, percutaneous and mucosal exposure to infectious blood or body fluids, intravenous drug use, sharing or using non-sterilized needles or syringes, sexual contact with any infected person, and maternal to child transmission (vertical transmission and breast milk) (Refs. 2, 7-32). HIV has also been transmitted through transplantation of infected organs (Refs. 33-40) and through use of contaminated human cells or tissues (Refs. 35-36, 41-50). Although the prevalence rate of HIV in U.S. tissue donors has been estimated to be lower than in the general population, the estimated probability of undetected viremia at the time of donation is higher among tissue donors than among first-time blood donors (Ref. 51).

1. Potential for Transmission of HIV by Blood Products and Solid Organs

HIV can be transmitted by blood and blood products and solid organs (Refs. 2, 7-40). Thousands of recipients of blood and blood components for transfusion and recipients of plasma-derived clotting factors became infected with HIV before the causative virus was identified and before the first screening tests for HIV were approved by FDA in 1985 (Refs. 20, 22, 25, 52-54).

Since blood establishments implemented FDA-approved donor screening tests, including sensitive tests for detecting HIV antibody, antigen, and nucleic acids,

there has been a dramatic reduction in the transmission of HIV-1 by human blood and blood components (Ref. 55). Sources of remaining risk for HIV-1 transmission include:

- marker-negative "window period" donations made during the period that the donor is infected with the virus, but neither the virus nor antibodies to the virus are detectable by current tests;
- donors infected with genetic and immunovariant viral strains;
- persistent antibody-negative (immunosilent) carriers; and
- laboratory errors.

The window period, including the "eclipse period" attributable to NAT, has improved with each new class of HIV tests (Ref. 56).

Use of donor educational material, specific deferral questions, and advances in HIV donor testing (e.g., HIV antibody assays, p24 antigen/antibody combination assays, and NAT) have reduced the risk of HIV transmission from blood transfusion from about 1 in 2500 units prior to HIV testing to a current estimated residual risk of about 1 in 1.47 million transfusions (Refs. 25, 57-60). NAT window periods have been estimated to be an average of 11–15 days for HIV donor screening tests (Refs. 54-55, 61), which highlights the importance of donor screening.

Additionally, although confidence with testing did not address whether donors are given highly active antiretroviral therapy, data presented at the June 2001 Blood Products Advisory Committee (BPAC) meeting where donor re-entry algorithms were discussed demonstrated with sufficient confidence that negative test results can rule out HIV-1 infection after at least 8 weeks have passed from the time of a presumed false positive test result (Ref. 62), and this period has been supported recently by studies of HIV incidence and residual risk in U.S. blood donors (Refs. 25, 63-66).

Beginning in September 1985, FDA recommended that blood establishments indefinitely defer male donors who have had sex with another male, even one time, since 1977, because of the strong clustering of AIDS and the subsequent discovery of high rates of HIV infection among MSM (Ref. 15). FDA subsequently concluded that the available evidence supported a change from the indefinite deferral for MSM, and in December 2015, recommended a 12-month deferral for MSM.

In 2014, FDA launched the Transfusion Transmissible Infections Monitoring System (TTIMS), a program implemented in the U.S. in order to facilitate monitoring blood safety, particularly in the context of changes in blood collection policy and practice. Following implementation of the 12-month blood donor deferral policy in December 2015 for MSM, four years of data from TTIMS indicated there had been no increase in risk to the blood supply from the policy

change. Additionally, other countries, including the United Kingdom and Canada moved to a 3-month deferral period for MSM, after which, there were no reports from these countries suggesting safety concerns following the implementation of this change. Thereafter, FDA reduced the recommended blood donor deferral period to 3 months for MSM, through recommendations published in guidance in April 2020 (Ref. 25).

In addition to shortening the recommended deferral period for MSM in 2020, FDA concurrently evaluated the available scientific evidence that could support modification of several other blood donor deferrals related to risk for HIV. Based on the experience in the United Kingdom and Canada, along with the detection characteristics of the NAT noted above, in April 2020, FDA also revised the recommended deferrals for individuals who exchange sex for money or drugs or engage in non-prescription injection drug use from indefinite to 3-month deferrals. In addition, for similar reasons, the recommended 12-month deferral for other risk factors, including contact with another person's blood, receipt of a blood transfusion or a recent tattoo or piercing, was revised to 3 months.

FDA subsequently helped facilitate and fund the ADVANCE (Assessing Donor Variability And New Concepts in Eligibility) study, a pilot study intended to evaluate individual risk assessment strategies as an alternative to time-based deferrals for MSM (Ref. 67). The ADVANCE study examined a number of HIV risk factors, such as anal sex and rates of HIV infection among MSM study participants. In addition, the ADVANCE study determined the rates of PrEP and PEP use among MSM study participants (Refs. 67-68).

FDA also recognized that other countries with similar HIV epidemiology as the U.S. revised their donor eligibility criteria for MSM, based on risk assessments performed in these countries. Notably, the United Kingdom in 2021 and Canada in 2022 introduced a new approach for donor questioning based on individual risk factors (Refs. 69-73). The approach is based on surveillance, epidemiology, and risk assessments that demonstrate that new or multiple sexual partners, and for those with new or multiple partners, anal sex, are the most significant risk factors that increase the likelihood of HIV infection (Refs. 17, 69-73). The United Kingdom and Canada have adopted an individual risk-based approach that asks all presenting blood donors (regardless of sex), if they have had a new sexual partner or more than one sexual partner in the last 3 months, and if so, they are asked if they had anal sex (Refs. 71, 74). Individuals who report having a new sexual partner and anal sex or having more than one sexual partner and anal sex in the last three months are deferred from blood donation. To date, the United Kingdom and Canada have not reported safety concerns following the implementation of this individual risk-based deferral policy.

Subsequently, FDA concluded that implementing an individual risk-based approach will maintain the safety of the blood supply, and in May 2023, FDA

issued guidance that recommends (1) eliminating the blood donor screening questions specific to MSM and women who have sex with MSM; and (2) assessing blood donor eligibility using the same individual risk-based questions relevant to HIV risk for every donor regardless of sex. FDA also recommended deferral of any individual taking medications to treat or prevent HIV infection (e.g., ART, PrEP, and PEP) (Ref. 25).

Other federal agencies have also reconsidered the transmission risk of HIV through solid organs. When quantifying risk of transmission of an undetected HIV infection from an organ donor with an HIV risk factor, the probability has been estimated to be fewer than one per 1 million when the donor was additionally screened by testing using a NAT for HIV at least 14 days after the donor's most recent exposure (Ref. 61). In addition, in the setting where donor testing may not detect a recent infection, Public Health Service guidelines for assessing solid organ donors and monitoring transplant recipients for risk of HIV (as well as hepatitis B virus (HBV), and hepatitis C virus (HCV)) infection have evolved (Ref. 54). An evidence-based process was used to update guidelines that included developing key questions to evaluate behavioral and non-behavioral risk factors associated with transmission of these viruses, and an exhaustive literature review was undertaken where they were categorized according to strength and data quality, and evidence was graded. Organ donor screening guidelines were revised to identify donors at risk for acquiring a recent HIV, HBV, or HCV infection (Ref. 105).

2. Potential for Transmission of HIV by HCT/Ps

HIV has been reported to be transmitted by HCT/Ps such as fresh bone, frozen tendon, and skin allografts (Refs. 35-36, 41-50). HIV has also been isolated from tears, retina, cornea, aqueous humor, iris, and conjunctiva (Refs. 37, 75-82).

As noted above, advances in HIV donor testing (e.g., HIV antibody assays, HIV antigen/antibody combination assays, and HIV NATs) have reduced the "window period" when HIV RNA, HIV antigen and/or HIV antibody are not detectable by screening tests (Refs. 54-55, 61).

Formal studies and collection of data specific to HCT/P donors are lacking, however, many of the studies used to support blood donor deferral recommendations (e.g., ADVANCE study, risk assessments) are relevant beyond blood donation. These studies considered certain risk factors associated with donors acquiring HIV, and the same risk factors associated with acquiring HIV are relevant to screening not only blood donors but also donors of HCT/Ps. In addition, the evidence-based process used to update organ donor screening guidelines that evaluated behavioral and non-behavioral risk factors associated with transmission of HIV, HBV, or HCV, for which a number of risk factors overlap, provides substantial support to identify donors at risk for acquiring a

recent infection. Having a recent infection is relevant to risk of transmission presented by HCT/P donors in addition to organ donors. Given these data, experience with a 3-month blood donor deferral in other countries, and the uniform use of HIV NAT for testing HCT/P donors (which can detect HIV well within a 3-month period following initial infection), the Agency concludes, at this time, that a change to a recommended 3-month risk period as detailed below is scientifically supported for certain risk factors and conditions associated with HIV for donors of HCT/Ps (Ref. 54, 105).

Additionally, based on our review of the available science, adequacy of available test methods, studies used to evaluate risk behaviors, and experiences with updated blood donor screening questions, FDA also recommends eliminating the HCT/P donor screening questions specific to MSM and women who have sex with MSM and, instead, recommends assessing every HCT/P donor for HIV risk using the same individual risk-based questions relevant to HIV risk regardless of sex.

B. Severity of Effect

HIV disease is associated with a risk for development of neurologic complications including Guillain-Barré syndrome, encephalitis, meningitis, paresis, HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder, and HIV-associated dementia (Refs. 6, 8-13, 83-84). There is also a risk of developing malignancies (e.g., primary CNS lymphoma, Burkitt's lymphoma, Kaposi's sarcoma) and opportunistic infections (Ref. 6). Untreated chronic infection can lead to AIDS and, if left untreated, HIV/AIDS can be associated with high morbidity and mortality (Refs. 1-6).

C. Availability of Appropriate Screening and/or Testing Measures

As described above, appropriate donor screening measures have been developed for HIV and specific details are listed below for screening a donor for clinical and physical evidence, and risk factors and conditions to reduce the risk of transmission of HIV.

FDA-licensed donor screening tests to detect antibodies to HIV-1, including detection of HIV-1 group O, and HIV-2 (anti-HIV I/O/II), and to detect HIV-1 and HIV-2 viral nucleic acid (using NAT), are available for screening living and cadaveric (non-heart-beating) donors of HCT/Ps. Some NATs are multiplex assays that can simultaneously detect HIV, HBV, and HCV in a single blood specimen. An FDA-licensed HIV antigen-antibody combination test is also available for testing HCT/P donors.

The addition of NAT to screen HCT/P donors significantly reduces the risk of transmission of HIV (Refs. 51, 85-87). The probability of detecting HIV viremia at the time of tissue donation has been estimated to be 1 in 55,000 and the probability of detecting donor viremia is estimated to be reduced to 1 in 173,000 when individual HIV NAT is used (Ref. 51).

However, antiretroviral medications to prevent sexual transmission of HIV, or for treatment of HIV infection (i.e., PrEP, PEP, or ART), can affect HIV test results. FDA-approved antiretroviral drugs can reduce the HIV viral load of individuals to undetectable levels as determined by conventional testing; however, these antiretroviral drugs do not fully eliminate the virus from the body (Refs. 88-94). Therefore, the addition of appropriate screening measures to identify use of antiretroviral drugs to treat or prevent HIV infection is recommended.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Screening a Donor for Risk Factors and Conditions of HIV Infection

Unless an exception identified in 21 CFR 1271.90(a) applies, you must review relevant medical records (21 CFR 1271.3(s)) and ask questions about the donor's medical history and relevant conditions and behavioral risks, including risk factors for RCDADs (21 CFR 1271.75(a)).

The list below provides risk factors and conditions for which we recommend screening in order to reduce the risk of transmission of HIV infection. Except as noted in this section, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1271.75(d), you must determine to be ineligible any potential donor who is identified as having a risk factor for HIV. The following conditions or behaviors should be considered risk factors for HIV:

1. Persons who have ever had a positive or reactive screening test for HIV (Refs. 88-91).

2. Persons who have engaged in non-prescription injection drug use in the preceding 3 months, including intravenous, intramuscular, or subcutaneous injections (Refs. 25-26, 54, 95-125).

3. Persons who have had sex[3] in exchange for money or drugs or other payment[4] in the preceding 3 months (Refs. 25, 27, 54, 105, 126-131).

4. Persons who have had sexual contact in the preceding 3 months with any individual who has ever had a positive test for HIV infection (Refs. 4, 25, 54, 95-113, 132).

5. Persons who have had sexual contact in the preceding 3 months with any individual who has exchanged sex for money, drugs or other payment. If there is any uncertainty about when their sexual partner exchanged sex for

[3] Throughout this guidance, unless specified as "anal sex," the term "sex" or "sexual contact" refers to vaginal, anal, or oral sex, regardless of whether a condom or other protection is used.
[4] https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/2024-terminology-guidelines_en.pdf

money, drugs or other payment, the person is ineligible for 3 months (Refs. 4, 25, 54, 95-113, 132).

6. Persons who have had sexual contact in the preceding 3 months with any individual who has engaged in non-prescription injection drug use. If there is any uncertainty about when their sexual partner engaged in non-prescription injection drug use, the person is ineligible for 3 months (Refs. 4, 25, 54).

7. Persons who have had a new sexual partner[5] in the preceding 3 months **and** have had anal sex in the preceding three months (Refs. 4, 25, 54, 95-113, 132).

   Note: An anonymous semen donor who reports this behavior may be eligible provided that the semen donation is kept in quarantine and the results from initial and requisite retesting of the donor are negative (or non-reactive) and no other risk factor for an RCDAD is identified.[6] If a directed semen donor reports this behavior, you may elect to perform the quarantine and retesting steps described for an anonymous semen donor. If such steps are taken, the directed semen donor may be eligible provided that the results from initial testing and retesting of the donor are negative (or non-reactive) and no other risk factor for any RCDAD is identified.

8. Persons who have had more than one sexual partner[7] in the preceding 3 months **and** have had anal sex in the preceding three months (Refs. 4, 25, 54, 95-113, 132).

   Note: An anonymous semen donor who reports this behavior may be eligible provided that the semen donation is kept in quarantine and the results from initial and requisite retesting of the donor are negative (or non-reactive) and no other risk factor for an RCDAD is identified.[8] If a directed semen donor reports this behavior, you may elect to perform the quarantine and retesting steps described for an anonymous semen donor. If such steps are taken, the directed semen donor may be eligible provided that the results from initial testing and retesting of the donor are negative (or non-reactive) and no other risk factor for any RCDAD is identified.

[5] For the purposes of this guidance, the following examples would be considered having sex with a new partner: having sex with someone for the first time; or having had sex with someone in a relationship that ended in the past and having sex again with that person in the last 3 months.

[6] In accordance with 21 CFR 1271.60(a), you must quarantine semen from anonymous donors until the retesting required under § 1271.85(d) is complete. In accordance with 21 CFR 1271.85(d), at least 6 months after the date of donation of semen from anonymous donors, you must collect a new specimen from the donor and test it for evidence of infection due to the communicable disease agents for which testing is required under paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of 1271.85(d).

[7] See footnote 5.

[8] See footnote 6.

9. Persons who have ever taken any medication to treat HIV infection (i.e., ART) (Refs. 25, 89, 91-94, 133-136).

10. Persons who have taken any medication by mouth (oral) in the preceding 3 months to prevent HIV infection (i.e., antiviral PrEP or PEP) (Refs. 25, 91-94, 134-137).

11. Persons who have received any medication by injection in the preceding 2 years to prevent HIV infection (e.g., long-acting antiviral PrEP or PEP) (Refs. 25, 134, 138).

12. Persons who have been exposed in the preceding 3 months to known or suspected HIV-infected blood through percutaneous inoculation (e.g., needle stick) or through contact with an open wound, non-intact skin, or mucous membrane (Refs. 25, 54, 95, 105).

13. Persons who have been in lock up, jail, prison, or a juvenile correctional facility for more than 72 consecutive hours in the preceding 3 months (Refs. 54, 105, 151-154).

14. Persons who have undergone tattooing, ear piercing or body piercing in the preceding 3 months, in which sterile procedures were not used, e.g., contaminated instruments and/or ink were used, or shared instruments that had not been sterilized between uses were used. A person may be eligible, for example, if a tattoo was applied by a state regulated entity with sterile needles and non-reused ink, or if ear or body piercing was done using single-use equipment (Refs. 25, 154-158).

15. Children 1 month of age or younger who were born to a mother with, or at risk for, an HIV infection; see risk factors above (Refs. 30-32, 139-150).

16. Children breastfed in the preceding 6 months by a mother with, or at risk for, an HIV infection; see risk factors above (Refs. 30-32, 139-150).

We do not recommend deferral of a donor who is a child born to a mother with or at risk for HIV infection if the child is over 1 month of age and has not been breast-fed within the preceding 6 months, provided that all of the child's HIV tests, physical examination, and medical records do not indicate evidence of HIV infection (Refs. 54, 95, 105, 139-150).

Infant donors may receive human breast milk from a source other than the birth mother. Although there is no specific requirement under 21 CFR part 1271 for screening a third-party human breast milk donor, this information, if available, would be considered relevant medical records and must be considered in the final

determination as to whether the infant is an eligible donor. The medical director or other responsible person making the donor eligibility determination should consider the information obtained during the donor medical history interview, including information regarding use of human breast milk from a third-party, and determine whether the information obtained increases the risk of transmission of relevant communicable diseases including HIV.

B. Screening a Donor for Clinical Evidence of HIV Infection

Unless an exception identified in 21 CFR 1271.90(a) applies, you must review relevant medical records for clinical evidence of relevant communicable disease agents and diseases (21 CFR 1271.75). In accordance with 21 CFR 1271.75(d), you must determine to be ineligible any potential donor who exhibits clinical evidence of HIV (Refs. 2-3, 6-7, 10-12, 23-24). Examples of clinical evidence of HIV may include:

- A prior positive or reactive screening test for HIV;
- Unexplained weight loss;
- Unexplained night sweats;
- Unexplained generalized rash;
- Blue or purple spots on or under the skin or mucous membranes typical of Kaposi's sarcoma;
- Generalized lymphadenopathy (swollen lymph nodes) for longer than one month;
- Unexplained temperature of >100.5°F (38.06°C) for more than 10 days;
- Unexplained persistent cough or shortness of breath;
- Opportunistic infections;
- Unexplained persistent diarrhea; and/or
- Unexplained persistent white spots or unusual blemishes in the mouth.

C. Screening a Donor for Physical Evidence of HIV Infection

Relevant medical records (21 CFR 1271.3(s)) include the report of the physical assessment of a cadaveric donor (21 CFR 1271.3(o)) or the physical examination of a living donor.

Some of the following observations are not physical evidence of HIV, but rather are indications of high-risk behavior associated with the disease and would increase the donor's relevant communicable disease risk. Unless an exception identified in 21 CFR 1271.90(a) applies, in accordance with 21 CFR 1271.75(d)(1), you must determine to be ineligible any potential donor who has risk factors for or clinical evidence of HIV. The following are examples of physical evidence of HIV or high-risk behavior associated with HIV:

1. Physical evidence for risk of sexually transmitted diseases and infections, such as perianal lesions, genital ulcerative disease, herpes simplex, mpox,

or chancroid (when making a donor eligibility determination, you should consider these findings in light of other information obtained about the donor) (Refs. 2, 4, 10-12, 17, 159, 166).

2. Physical evidence of non-prescription injection drug use such as needle tracks; your examination should include examination of tattoos, which might be covering needle tracks (Refs. 2, 4, 15-17, 105, 154-158).

3. Physical evidence of recent tattooing, ear piercing, or body piercing. Persons who have undergone tattooing, ear piercing, or body piercing in the preceding 3 months, in which sterile procedures were not used (e.g., contaminated instruments and or/ink were used), or instruments that had not been sterilized between uses were used. A person may be eligible, for example, if a tattoo was applied by a state regulated entity with sterile needles and non-reused ink, or if ear or body piercing was done using single-use equipment (Refs. 25, 154-158).

4. Generalized lymphadenopathy (Refs. 10-12).

5. Unexplained oral thrush (Refs. 4, 6, 10-12).

6. Blue or purple spots consistent with Kaposi's sarcoma (Refs. 6, 160-165).

7. Unexplained generalized rash or fever (Refs. 10-12).

D. Testing a Donor for Evidence of HIV Infection

You must test all donors of HCT/Ps for HIV-1 and HIV-2 as required under 21 CFR 1271.85(a), unless an exception under 21 CFR 1271.90(a) applies, and you must use appropriate FDA-licensed, approved, or cleared screening tests in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, as required in 21 CFR 1271.80(c).[9]

The following donor screening tests adequately and appropriately reduce the risk of transmission of HIV. Our recommendations on specific tests may change in the future due to technological advances or evolving scientific knowledge:

1. For HIV-1: An FDA-licensed donor screening test either for anti-HIV-1 (including group O) or a combination test for anti-HIV-1 (including group O) and anti-HIV-2 (Refs. 167) and an FDA-licensed donor screening NAT for HIV-1, or a combination (multiplex) NAT (Refs. 51, 55, 85-87); and

[9] The following CBER website includes a list of FDA-licensed, approved, or cleared donor screening tests (including manufacturers and tradenames): https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/testing-human-cells-tissues-and-cellular-and-tissue-based-product-hctp-donors-relevant-communicable.

2. For HIV-2: An FDA-licensed donor screening test either for anti-HIV-2 or a combination test for anti-HIV-1 (including group O) and anti-HIV-2 (Ref. 167).

3. An FDA-licensed HIV antigen/HIV 1/O/2 antibody combination assay can be used for the simultaneous qualitative detection of HIV p24 antigen and antibodies to HIV-1 (including group O) and HIV-2. Such a licensed donor screening test should be used in combination with an HIV-1 NAT to adequately and appropriately test an HCT/P donor for HIV-1 and HIV-2.

Any HCT/P donor whose specimen tests negative (or non-reactive) for all assays (i.e., anti-HIV-1 (including group O), anti-HIV-2, or a combination test for those disease agents; and HIV-1 NAT) is considered to be negative (or non-reactive) when making a donor eligibility determination. Note that a negative (or non-reactive) test does not necessarily mean that a donor is eligible; donor screening also applies as described above.

Any HCT/P donor whose specimen tests positive (or reactive) using any of the assays (i.e., anti-HIV-1 (including group O), anti-HIV-2, a combination test for those disease agents, or HIV-1 NAT) is considered ineligible (21 CFR 1271.80(d)(1)).

V. REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization, Global Health Observatory, Global HIV Programme, HIV/AIDS data. https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/hiv-aids. Accessed June 10, 2024.
2. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2011; 52(6):780.
3. Kanki PJ, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 subtypes differ in disease progression. J Infect Dis. 1999; 179(1):68.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV Surveillance Report: Diagnosis, Deaths, and Prevalence of HIV in the United States and Six Territories and Freely Associated States, 2022. Published May 2024. https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/156509/cdc_156509_DS1.pdf
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Estimated HIV incidence and prevalence in the United States, 2018–2022. HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/156513/cdc_156513_DS1.pdf. Published May 2024.
6. Bartlett JG, Redfield RR, Pham PA. Bartlett's Medical Management of HIV Infection, 17th Edition. Oxford University Press, 2019. ISBN 9780190924799 (epub)
7. Seik RM, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Revised Surveillance Case Definition for HIV infection—United States, 2014. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014 Apr 11; 63;(RR-03):1-10.
8. Robb ML, et al. Prospective Study of Acute HIV-1 Infection in Adults in East Africa and Thailand. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(22):2120. Epub 2016 May 18.
9. Kared H, et al. HIV-specific regulatory T cells are associated with higher CD4 cell counts in primary infection. AIDS 2008; 22(18):2451.
10. Niu MT, et al. Primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection: review of pathogenesis and early treatment intervention in humans and animal retrovirus infections. J Infect Dis. 1993; 168(6):1490.
11. Daar ES, et al. Diagnosis of primary HIV-1 infection. Los Angeles County Primary HIV Infection Recruitment Network. Ann Intern Med. 2001; 134(1):25.
12. Braun DL, et al. Frequency and Spectrum of Unexpected Clinical Manifestations of Primary HIV-1 Infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2015 Sep; 61(6):1013-1021. Epub 2015 May 19.
13. Crowell TA, et al. Acute Retroviral Syndrome Is Associated With High Viral Burden, CD4 Depletion, and Immune Activation in Systemic and Tissue Compartments. Clin Infect Dis. 2018; 66(10):1540.
14. Donegan E, et al. Infection with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) among recipients of antibody-positive blood donations. Ann Intern Med 1990; 113:733-739.
15. Baggaley RF, et al. Risk of HIV-1 transmission for parenteral exposure and blood transfusion: A systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS 2006; 20:805.
16. Kaplan EH, Heimer R. HIV incidence among New Haven needle exchange participants: updated estimates from syringe tracking and testing data. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1995; 10:175-176.
17. Patel P, et al. Estimating per-act HIV transmission risk: A systematic review. AIDS 2014; 28:1509-1519.

18. Cohen MS. Amplified transmission of HIV-1: Missing link in the HIV pandemic. Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc 2006; 117: 213–225.
19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Updated Guidelines for Antiretroviral Postexposure Prophylaxis After Sexual, Injection Drug Use, or Other Nonoccupational Exposure to HIV—United States, 2016. https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/programresources/cdc-hiv-npep-guidelines.pdf
20. Epstein JS, Jaffe HW, Alter HJ, Klein HG. Blood system changes since recognition of transfusion-associated AIDS, Transfusion 2013; 53:2365-2374.
21. Stramer SL, Dodd RY. Transfusion-transmitted emerging infectious disease: 30 years of challenges and progress, Transfusion 2013; 53:2375-2383.
22. Dubin C, Francis D. Closing the circle: a thirty-year retrospective on the AIDS/blood epidemic, Transfusion 2013; 53:2359-2364.
23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiologic Notes and Reports Pneumocystis carinii Pneumonia among Persons with Hemophilia A, Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1982, 31:365-367. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00001126.htm
24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiologic Notes and Reports Possible Transfusion-Associated Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)—California, Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1982, 31:652-654. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00001203.htm
25. Food and Drug Administration, "Recommendations for Evaluating Donor Eligibility Using Individual Risk-Based Questions to Reduce the Risk of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Transmission by Blood and Blood Products, Guidance for Industry." Published May 2023. https://www.fda.gov/media/164829/download.
26. Ginzburg HM. Intravenous drug users and the acquired immune deficiency syndrome, Public Health Rep 1984; 99:206-212.
27. Van de Perre P, et al. Female prostitutes: a risk group for infection with human T-cell lymphotropic virus type III, The Lancet 1985, 8454:524-527.
28. Ammann AJ, et al. Acquired immunodeficiency in an infant: possible transmission by means of blood products. Lancet. 1983; 1:956-958.
29. Curran JW, et al. Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) associated with transfusions. N Engl J Med 1984; 310:69–75.
30. Breastfeeding and HIV International Transmission Study Group. Late postnatal transmission of HIV-1 in breast-fed children: an individual patient data meta-analysis. J Infect Dis. 2004 Jun 15;189(12):2154-2166. doi: 10.1086/420834. Epub 2004 May 26.
31. Becquet R, et al. Duration, Pattern of Breastfeeding and Postnatal Transmission of HIV: Pooled Analysis of Individual Data from West and South African Cohorts. 2009; PLOS ONE 4(10): e7397.
32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Effects of HIV, Viral Hepatitis and STIs on Pregnancy and Infants, Pregnancy and HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STDs, & Tuberculosis Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/pregnancy-hiv-std-tb-hepatitis/effects/.
33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Human immunodeficiency virus infection transmitted from an organ donor screened for HIV antibody—North Carolina. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1987; 36:306–308. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00019010.htm

34. Quarto M, et al. HIV transmission through kidney transplantation from a living related donor. N Engl J Med 1989; 320:1754
35. Simonds RJ. HIV transmission by organ and tissue transplantation. AIDS; 1993; 7(Suppl 2):S35-38.
36. Simonds RJ, et al. Transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 from a seronegative organ and tissue donor. N Engl J Med 1992; 326:726–732.
37. Petersen LR, et al. HIV transmission through blood, tissue and organs, AIDS, 1993; 1, 99-107.
38. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV transmitted from a living organ donor—New York City, 2009. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011; 60:297–301. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6010a1.htm
39. Ison MG, et al. HIV-HCV Transplantation Transmission Investigation Team. Transmission of human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus from an organ donor to four transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2011; 11:1218–1225.
40. White SL, et al. Infectious Disease Transmission in Solid Organ Transplantation: Donor Evaluation, Recipient Risk, and Outcomes of Transmission. Transplant Direct. 2018 Dec 20; 5(1):e416.
41. Clarke JA. HIV transmission and skin grafts. 1987 Apr 25; 1(8539):983.
42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Transmission of HIV through bone transplantation: case report and public health recommendations. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1988; 37:597–599.
43. Furlini G, et al. Antibody response to human immunodeficiency virus after infected bone marrow transplant. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1988; 7 (5) :664 – 666.
44. Karcher HL. HIV transmitted by bone graft. BMJ. 1997 May 3; 314(7090):1300.
45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV-1 infection and artificial insemination with processed semen. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1990; 39:249 –256. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00001604.htm
46. Schratt H, et al. HIV infection caused by cold preserved bone transplants (HIV-Infektion durch kältekonservierte Knochentransplantate). September 1996; Unfallchirurg 99, 679–684.
47. Li C, Ho Y, Liu Y. Transmission of human immunodeficiency virus through bone transplantation: a case report. J Formos Med Assoc 2001; 100(5):350–351.
48. Hinsenkamp M, et al. Adverse reactions and events related to musculoskeletal allografts: reviewed by the World Health Organisation Project NOTIFY. Int Orthop. 2012 Mar; 36(3):633-641.
49. Eastlund T, Warwick RM. Diseases Transmitted by Transplantation of Tissue and Cell Allografts. Chapter 4 in Tissue & Cell Clinical Use: An Essential Guide, Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2012.
50. Fishman JA, Greenwald MA, Grossi PA. Transmission of Infection with Human Allografts: Essential Considerations in Donor Screening, Clinical Infectious Diseases, Volume 55, Issue 5, 1 September 2012; 720–727.
51. Zou S, Dodd RY, Stramer SL, Strong DM. Probability of viremia with HBV, HCV, HIV, and HTLV among tissue donors in the United States. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 751-759.
52. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Testing donors of organs, tissues, and semen for antibody to human T-lymphotropic virus type III/lymphadenopathy-associated virus.

Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1985; 34:294. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00000547.htm

53. Leveton LB, Sox Jr HC, Stoto MA, eds. HIV and The Blood Supply: An Analysis of Crisis Decision Making, Institute of Medicine, National Academy Press, Washington DC 1995.
54. Jones JM, et. al. Assessing Solid Organ Donors and Monitoring Transplant Recipients for Human Immunodeficiency Virus, Hepatitis B Virus, and Hepatitis C Virus Infection —U.S. Public Health Service Guideline, 2020. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020 Jun 26;69(4):1-16.
55. Food and Drug Administration, Nucleic Acid Testing (NAT) for Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 (HIV-1) and Hepatitis C Virus (HCV): Testing, Product Disposition, and Donor Deferral and Reentry—Guidance for Industry, published May 2010, updated December 2017. https://www.fda.gov/media/124144/download
56. Delaney KP, et al. Time until emergence of HIV test reactivity following infection with HIV-1: implications for interpreting test results and retesting after exposure. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 64:53–59.
57. Perkins HA, Busch MP. Transfusion-associated infections: 50 years of relentless challenges and remarkable progress, Transfusion 2010; 50:2080-2099.
58. Ward JW, et al. Laboratory and epidemiologic evaluation of an enzyme immunoassay for antibodies to HTLV-III, JAMA 1986; 256:357-361.
59. Zou S, Stramer SL, Dodd RY. Donor testing and risk: current prevalence, incidence, and residual risk of transfusion-transmissible agents in U.S. allogeneic donations, Transfus Med Rev 2012; 26:119-128.
60. Klamroth R, Gröner A, Simon TL. Pathogen inactivation and removal methods for plasma-derived clotting factor concentrates, Transfusion 2014; 54:1406-1417.
61. Jones JM, et al. Quantifying the risk of undetected HIV, hepatitis B virus, or hepatitis C virus infection in Public Health Service increased risk donors. Am J Transplant 2019; 19:2583–2593.
62. Blood Products Advisory Committee (BPAC), 69th Meeting, Gaithersburg Hilton, June 14, 2001. https://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20170403222320/https:/www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/cber01.htm#Blood%20Products. Pages 1-300.
63. Steele WR, et al. Prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus in United States blood donations, 2015 to 2019: The Transfusion-Transmissible Infections Monitoring System (TTIMS) Transfusion 2020; 60;(10); 2327-2339.
64. Custer B, Stramer SL, Glynn S, Williams AE. Transfusion-transmissible infection monitoring system: a tool to monitor changes in blood safety. Transfusion. 2016; 56:1499-1502.
65. Steele WR, et al. HIV, HCV, and HBV incidence and residual risk in U.S. blood donors before and after implementation of the 12-month deferral policy for men who have sex with men. Transfusion. 2021 Mar; 61(3):839-850.
66. Quiner C, et al. Recently acquired infection among HIV-seropositive donors in the U.S. from 2010-2018 Transfusion. 2020; 60;(10):2340-2347.

67. Assessing Donor Variability And New Concepts in Eligibility (ADVANCE) Study, www.advancestudy.org.
68. Custer B, Whitaker B, Pollack, L, et al., HIV Risk Behavior Profiles Among Men Who Have Sex with Men Interested in Donating Blood: The Assessing Donor Variability and New Concepts in Eligibility (ADVANCE) Study, medRxiv, 2023; 04.08.23288320; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.08.23288320.
69. Caffrey N, Goldman M, Lewin A, Grégoire Y, Yi Q-L, O'Brien S, Removing the men who have sex with men blood donation deferral: Informing the risk models using Canadian public health surveillance data, Transfusion Clinique et Biologique, 2022; 29:198-204.
70. O'Brien SF, Goldman M, Robillard P, et al., Donor screening question alternatives to men who have sex with men time deferral: Potential impact on donor deferral and discomfort, Transfusion 2021; 61:94–101.
71. Goldman M, Lewin A, Ren'ud C, O'Brien SF. Implementation of sexual risk behavior donor screening in Canada. Transfusion. 2024 May 17.
72. Advisory Committee on the Safety of Blood, Tissues and Organs (SaBTO). Donor Selection Criteria Report. July 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/blood-tissue-and-cell-donor-selection-criteria-report-2017.
73. FAIR. Can donor selection policy move from a population-based donor selection policy to one based on a more individualized risk assessment? Conclusions from the For the Assessment of Individualized Risk (FAIR) group; 2020.
74. NHS Blood and Transplant. Our Improved Donations Safety Check. https://www.blood.co.uk/news-and-campaigns/the-donor/latest-stories/our-improved-donation-safety-check/ .
75. Cantrill HL, et al. Recovery of human immunodeficiency virus from ocular tissues in patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome, Ophthalmology, 1988;1458-1462.
76. Fujikawa LS, et al. Human T-cell leukemia/lymphotropic virus type III in the conjunctival epithelium of a patient with AIDS, Am. J. Ophthalmol., 1985; 100, 507-509.
77. Fujikawa LS, et al. Isolation of human T -lymphotropic virus type III from the tears of a patient with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, Lancet, 1985; 2:529-530.
78. Heck E, et al. ELISA HIV testing and viral culture in the screening of corneal tissue for transplant from medical examiner case, Cornea, 1989; 77-80.
79. Salahuddin SZ, et al. Isolation of the human T-cell leukemia/lymphotropic virus type III from the cornea, Am. J. Ophthalmol., 1986; lQl, 149-152.
80. Buck BE, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus cultured from bone. Implications for transplantation, Clin. Orthop. Rei. Res., 1990; 251,250-253.
81. Merz H, et al. Bestimmung einer mv infektion in menschlinchen Knochen, Unjallchirurg, 1991; 94, 47-49.
82. Nyberg M, et al. Isolation of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) at autopsy one to six days postmortem, Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 1990; 94, 422-425.
83. Brannagan TH, Zhou Y. HIV-associated Guillain-Barré syndrome. J Neurol Sci. 2003; 208(1-2):39.
84. d'Arminio Monforte A, et al. Changing incidence of central nervous system diseases in the EuroSIDA cohort. Ann Neurol. 2004; 55(3):320.

85. Strong DM, Nelson K, Pierce M, Stramer SL. Preventing disease transmission by deceased tissue donors by testing blood for viral nucleic acid. Cell Tissue Bank 2005; 6: 255-262.
86. Committee Report. Nucleic acid amplification testing of blood donors for transfusion-transmitted infectious diseases. Transfusion 2000; 40: 143-159.
87. Pruss A, et al. Tissue donation and virus safety: more nucleic acid amplification testing is needed. Transplant Infectious Disease, 2010; 12: 375-386.
88. Food and Drug Administration, Safety Communication "Important Information for Potential Donors of Blood and Blood Products." December 20, 2019. https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/important-information-potential-donors-blood-and-blood-products
89. Custer B, et al. HIV antiretroviral therapy and prevention use in U.S. blood donors: a new blood safety concern. Blood. 2020 Sep 10;136(11):1351-1413.
90. Custer B, et al. Detection of antiretroviral therapy use in U.S. blood donors. Transfusion. 2019; 59 Suppl S3, 9A.
91. Association of the Advancement of Blood and Biotherapies. Association Bulletin #20-04. The Impact on Blood Safety of Effective Antiretroviral Medications for HIV Prevention and Treatment.
92. deSouza MS, Pinyakorn S, Akapirat S, et al., Initiation of anti-retroviral therapy during acute HIV-1 infection leads to a high rate of nonreactive HIV serology, Clin. Infect. Dis. 2016, 63:555-561.
93. Seed CR, Yang, H, Lee JF, Blood safety implications of donors using HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis, Vox Sanguinis 2017; 112:473-476.
94. Association of the Advancement of Blood and Biotherapies. Association Bulletin #22-03, Updated Recommendations on Donor Deferral for Use of Antiretroviral Medications for HIV Prevention and Treatment including Long-Acting Injectable PrEP and the Impact on Blood Safety.
95. Public Health Service, PHS Guideline for Preventing Transmission of HIV Through Transplantation of Human Tissue and Organs. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1994; 43(RR8):1-17.
96. Buchbinder SP, et al. Feasibility of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Vaccine Trials in Homosexual Men in The United States: Risk Behavior, Seroincidence, And Willingness to Participate. J Infect Dis 1996; 174:954-961.
97. Scott HM, et al. Age, Race, Ethnicity, and Behavioral Risk Factors Associated With Per Contact Risk of HIV Infection Among Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 65 (1), 115-121.
98. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Guidelines for National Human Immunodeficiency Virus Case Surveillance, Including Monitoring for Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection and Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1999; 48(RR13):1-31. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr4813a1.htm
99. Cowan DN, et al. The Incidence of HIV Infection Among Men in the United States Army Reserve Components, 1985-1991. AIDS 1994; 8:505-511.
100. Davis SF, et al. Trends in HIV Prevalence Among Childbearing Women in the United States, 1989-1994. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 19:158-164.

101. Glynn SA, et al. Demographic Characteristics, Unreported Risk Behaviors, and The Prevalence and Incidence of Viral Infections: A Comparison of Apheresis and Whole-Blood Donors. The Retrovirus Epidemiology Donor Study. Transfusion 1998; 38:350-358.
102. Holmberg SD. The Estimated Prevalence and Incidence of HIV in 96 Large Us Metropolitan Areas. Am J Public Health 1996; 86:642-654.
103. Karon JM, et al. Prevalence of HIV Infection in the United States, 1984 to 1992. Jama 1996; 276:126-131.
104. Katz MH, et al. Continuing High Prevalence of HIV and Risk Behaviors Among Young Men Who Have Sex With Men: The Young Men's Survey in the San Francisco Bay Area in 1992 to 1993 and in 1994 to 1995. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 19:178-181.
105. Public Health Service, Guideline for reducing human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus transmission through organ transplantation. Public Health Rep 2013; 128:247-343.
106. Tabet SR, et al. Incidence of HIV and Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) in a Cohort of HIV-negative Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM). AIDS 1998; 12:2041-2048.
107. Thomas DL, et al. Hepatitis C, Hepatitis B, and Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infections Among Non-Intravenous Drug-Using Patients Attending Clinics for Sexually Transmitted Diseases. J Infect Dis 1994; 169:990-995.
108. Torian LV, et al. High HIV seroprevalence associated with gonorrhea: New York City Department of Health, sexually transmitted disease clinics, 1990-1997. AIDS 2000 Jan 28; 14(2):189-195..
109. Valdiserri RO, et al. Trends in HIV Seropositivity in Publicly Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Programs: Implications for Prevention Policy. Am J Prev Med 1998; 14:31-42.
110. Valleroy LA, et al. HIV Infection in Disadvantaged Out-Of-School Youth: Prevalence for U.S. Job Corps Entrants, 1990 through 1996. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 19:67-73.
111. Weinstock H, et al. HIV Seroincidence and Risk Factors Among Patients Repeatedly Tested for HIV Attending Sexually Transmitted Disease Clinics in the United States, 1991 to 1996. STD Clinic HIV Seroincidence Study Group. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 19:506-512.
112. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV and AIDS - United States, 1981-2000. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2001; 50:430-434. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5021a2.htm
113. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV Prevalence Trends in Selected Populations in the United States: Results from National Serosurveillance, 1993-1997. Published 2001. https://npin.cdc.gov/publication/hiv-prevalence-trends-selected-populations-united-states-results-national
114. McFarland W, et al. Detection of Early HIV Infection and Estimation of Incidence Using A Sensitive/Less-Sensitive Enzyme Immunoassay Testing Strategy at Anonymous Counseling and Testing Sites in San Francisco. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999; 22:484-489.

115. McFarland W, et al. Estimation of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Seroincidence Among Repeat Anonymous Testers in San Francisco. Am J Epidemiol 1997; 146:662-664.
116. Oster AM, et al. Increasing Capacity to Detect Clusters of Rapid HIV Transmission in Varied Populations—United States. Viruses 2021 Apr; 13(4): 577.
117. Peterman TA, et al. Decreasing Prevalence Hides a High HIV Incidence: Miami. AIDS 1995; 9:965-970.
118. Renzullo PO, et al. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type-1 Seroconversion Trends Among Young Adults Serving in the United States Army, 1985-1993. United States Military Medical Consortium for Applied Retroviral Research. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1995; 10:177-185.
119. Des Jarlais DC, et al. HIV Incidence Among Injection Drug Users in New York City, 1992-1997: Evidence for a Declining Epidemic. Am J Public Health 2000; 90:352-359.
120. Edlin BR, et al. High HIV Incidence Among Young Urban Street-Recruited Crack Cocaine Smokers, XI International Conference on AIDS, 1996.
121. Garfein RS, et al. Viral Infections in Short-Term Injection Drug Users: The Prevalence of The Hepatitis C, Hepatitis B, Human Immunodeficiency, and Human T-lymphotropic Viruses. Am J Public Health 1996; 86:655-661.
122. Kerndt PR, et al. HIV Incidence Among Injection Drug Users Enrolled in a Los Angeles Methadone Program. Jama 1995; 273:1831-1832.
123. Meyers K, et al. Will Preventive HIV Vaccine Efficacy Trials Be Possible With Female Injection Drug Users? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1995; 10:577-585.
124. Nelson KE et al. Temporal Trends of Incident HIV Infection in a Cohort of Injection Drug Users in Baltimore, Maryland. Arch Intern Med 1995; 155:1305–1311.
125. Nelson KE, et al. Temporal Trends in the Incidence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection and Risk Behavior Among Injection Drug Users in Baltimore, Maryland, 1988-1998. Am J Epidemiol 2002; 156:641-653.
126. Onorato IM, et al., Prevalence, Incidence, and Risks for HIV-1 Infection in Female Sex Workers in Miami, Florida. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1995; 9:395-400.
127. Bobashev GV, Zule WA, Osilla KC, Kline TL, Wechsberg WM, Transactional Sex among Men and Women in the South at High Risk for HIV and Other STIs. J Urban Health. 2009 Jul; 86(Suppl 1): 32–47 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2705487/.
128. Javanbakht M, Ragsdale A, Shoptaw S, Gorbach PM, Transactional Sex among Men Who Have Sex with Men: Differences by Substance Use and HIV Status. J Urban Health (2019) 96:429–441.
129. Keosha T, Bond 1, Yoon IS, et al., Transactional Sex, Substance Use, and Sexual Risk: Comparing Pay Direction for an Internet-Based U.S. Sample of Men Who Have Sex with Men. Sex Res Social Policy. 2019 September; 16(3): 255–267.
130. Armstrong HL, Jordan M. Sang, et al., Factors associated with transactional sex among a cohort of gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in Vancouver, Canada. 30 November 2021.

131. Menza TW, Lipira L, Bhattarai A, Cali-De Leon V, Orellana ER, Prevalence and correlates of transactional sex among women of low socioeconomic status in Portland, OR. BMC Women's Health (2020) 20:219.
132. Human Cells, Tissues and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products: Risk Factors for Semen Donation, Blood Products Advisory Committee (BPAC) Meeting, Hilton Silver Spring Hotel, 14 December 2001. https://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20170404094637/https://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/01/transcripts/3817t2.htm
133. Katusiime MG, Van Zyl GU, Cotton MF, Kearney MF. HIV-1 Persistence in Children during Suppressive ART. Viruses. 2021 Jun 12; 13(6)
134. Blumenthal J, Haubrich R, Jain S, Sun X, Dube M, Daar E, Milam J, Morris S. Factors associated with high transmission risk and detectable plasma HIV RNA in HIV-infected MSM on ART. Int J STD AIDS. 2014 Sep; 25(10):734-741
135. Grebe E, Di Germanio C, Stone M, et al., HIV incidence in U.S. first-time blood donors during 12-month and 3-month MSM deferral policy periods on behalf of the U.S. TTIMS program (abstract), 2023, 33rd Regional ISBT Congress, Gothenburg, Sweden.
136. Whitaker BI, Huang Y, Gubernot D, Eder A, Forshee R, Modeling the effect of an individual-risk based deferral policy for sexual behaviors on blood donations in the U.S. (abstract), 2023, 33rd Regional ISBT Congress, Gothenburg, Sweden.
137. Kasaie P, Pennington J, et al., The Impact of Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Men Who Have Sex With Men: An Individual-Based Model. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes 75(2):p 175-183, June 1, 2017.
138. Marshall BDL, Goedel WC, et al. Potential effectiveness of long-acting injectable pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men: a modelling study. Lancet HIV. 2018 Sep; 5(9): e498-e505.
139. Rutagwera DG, et al. Prevalence and determinants of HIV shedding in breast milk during continued breastfeeding among Zambian mothers not on antiretroviral treatment (ART), A cross-sectional study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019 Nov; 98(44): e17383.
140. Alcantara KC, et al. Seroreversion in children born to HIV-positive and AIDS mothers from Central West Brazil. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2009 Jun; 103(6):620-626.
141. Niewiesk S, et al. Maternal antibodies: clinical significance, mechanism of interference with immune responses, and possible vaccination strategies. Front Immunol. 2014 Sep 16; 5:446.
142. Chatpornvorarux S, et al. Delayed Seroreversion in HIV-exposed Uninfected Infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019 Jan; 38(1):65-69.
143. Simpson BJ, Andiman WA. Difficulties in assigning human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection and seroreversion status in a cohort of HIV-exposed in children using serologic criteria established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pediatrics. 1994; 93:840842.
144. European Collaborative Study. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection. Lancet. 1988; 332:10391043.
145. Chantry CJ, et al. Seroreversion in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed but uninfected infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1995; 14:382387.
146. Moodley D, et al. Predicting perinatal human immunodeficiency virus infection by antibody patterns. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1995; 14:850852.

147. Sohn AH, et al. Failure of human immunodeficiency virus enzyme immunoassay to rule out infection among polymerase chain reaction-negative Vietnamese infants at 12 months of age. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009; 28:273276.
148. Kourtis AP, et al. Time of HIV diagnosis in infants after weaning from breast milk. AIDS. September 10; 29(14): 1897-1898.
149. Chadwick EG, et al. Evaluation and Management of the Infant Exposed to HIV in the United States. Pediatrics November 2020; 146 (5).
150. Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/pediatric-arv/diagnosis-hiv-infection-infants-and-children?view=full .
151. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2011, published December 2012. https://www.cdc.gov/std/stats/archive/Surv2011.pdf .
152. HIV/AIDS and STDs in Juvenile Facilities - Research Brief. U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, April 1996.
153. Maruschak LM. HIV in Prisons, 2021 – Statistical Tables. U.S. Department of Justice. Office of Justice Programs. Bureau of Justice Statistics, March 2023. https://bjs.ojp.gov/library/publications/hiv-prisons-2021-statistical-tables
154. Dufour A, et al. Prevalence and risk behaviours for HIV infection among inmates of a provincial prison in Quebec City. AIDS 1996; 10(9):1009-1015.
155. Nishioka SA, Gyorkos TW. Tattoos as risk factors for transfusion transmitted diseases. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 2001; 5(1):27-34.
156. Messahel A, Musgrove B. Infective complications of tattooing and skin piercing. Journal of Infection and Public Health 2009; 2(1):7-13.
157. Garland SM, Ung L, Vujovic OV, Said JM. Cosmetic tattooing: A potential transmission route for HIV? Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology 2006; 46(5):458-459.
158. Doll DC. Tattooing in prison and HIV infection. Lancet 1988; 331(8575-8576):66-67
159. Baggaley RF, White RG, Boily MC. HIV transmission risk through anal intercourse: systematic review, meta-analysis and implications for HIV prevention. Int J Epidemiol 2010; 39:1048–1063.
160. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Kaposi's sarcoma and Pneumocystis pneumonia among homosexual men--New York City and California. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1981; 30(25):305–308.
161. Hymes KB, et al. Kaposi's sarcoma in homosexual men-a report of eight cases. Lancet 1981; 2(8247):598–600.
162. Rabkin CS, Biggar RJ, Horm JW. Increasing incidence of cancers associated with the human immunodeficiency virus epidemic. Int J Cancer. 1991; 47:692–696.
163. Safai B, et al. The natural history of Kaposi's sarcoma in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Annals of internal medicine 1985; 103(5):744–750.
164. Beral V, et al. Kaposi's sarcoma among persons with AIDS: a sexually transmitted infection? Lancet 1990; 335(8682):123–128.
165. Goncalves PH, et al. HIV associated Kaposi Sarcoma and related diseases. AIDS 2017 Sep 10; 31(14): 1903–1916.

166. Curran KG, Eberly K, Russell OO, et al. HIV and Sexually Transmitted Infections Among Persons with Monkeypox — Eight U.S. Jurisdictions, May 17–July 22, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022;71:1141–1147.

167. Food and Drug Administration, Guidance for Industry: Use of Nucleic Acid Tests on Pooled and Individual Samples from Donors of Whole Blood and Blood Components (including Source Plasma and Source Leukocytes) to Adequately and Appropriately Reduce the Risk of Transmission of HIV-1 and HCV dated October 2004. https://www.fda.gov/media/124349/download.