


The Childhood Immunization Schedule and Safety:

Stakeholder Concerns, Scientific
Evidence, and Future Studies

Pauline A. Thomas, M.D.

Associate Professor, Department of
Preventive Medicine & Community Health
New Jersey Medical School
IOM Committee Member



In 2009, NVAC endorsed the recommendation of the NVAC Safety Working Group:

“For an external expert committee, such as a committee convened by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), with broad expertise in research methodologies, study design, and the ethical conduct of research to consider the strengths and weaknesses, ethical issues and feasibility including timelines and cost of various study designs to examine outcomes in unvaccinated, vaccine-delayed and vaccinated children and report back to the NVAC.”

Statement of Task

The Institute of Medicine will convene an expert committee to:

1. Review scientific findings and stakeholder concerns related to the safety of the recommended childhood immunization schedule.
2. Identify potential research approaches, methodologies, and study designs that could inform this question, including an assessment of the potential strengths and limitations of each approach, methodology and design, as well as the financial and ethical feasibility of doing them.
3. Issue a report summarizing their findings.

Committee Members

Ada Sue Hinshaw, R.N., Ph.D.
(Chair)

Dean and Professor
Graduate School of Nursing
Uniformed Services University
of the Health Sciences

Tomás Aragón, M.D., Dr.P.H.

Health Officer
San Francisco Department of
Health

Alfred Berg, M.D., M.P.H.

Professor
Department of Family Medicine
University of Washington School
of Medicine

Stephen Buka, M.S., M.A., Sc.D.

Professor, Head of Epidemiology
Brown University

R. Alta Charo, J.D.*

Professor of
Law & Bioethics
University of Wisconsin Law
School

Gerry Fairbrother, Ph.D.

Senior Fellow
AcademyHealth

Elena Fuentes-Afflick, M.D., M.P.H.

Chief of Pediatrics
San Francisco General Hospital

Sidney Gospe, Jr., M.D., Ph.D.

Professor of Neurology &
Pediatrics
Head, Division of Pediatric
Neurology
Seattle Children's Hospital

Paul Greenberger, M.D.

Professor
Division of Allergy-Immunology
Northwestern University
Feinberg School of Medicine

Daniel Heitjan, Ph.D., M.Sc.

Professor of Biostatistics &
Statistics
University of Pennsylvania
School of Medicine

Annette C. Leland, M.B.A.

Independent
Washington, DC

Pejman Rohani, Ph.D.

Professor
Department of Ecology &
Evolutionary Biology
Center for the Study of Complex
Systems
University of Michigan

Lainie Friedman Ross, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor of Clinical Ethics
Professor, Departments of
Pediatrics, Medicine & Surgery
University of Chicago

Pauline A. Thomas, M.D.

Associate Professor
Department of Preventive Medicine
& Community Health
New Jersey Medical School

*through August 2012

Committee Process

- 3 information-gathering meetings open to the public.
- Presentations from clinicians, representatives of federal and international state agencies, including public health agencies, vaccine safety researchers, advocacy groups, vaccine manufacturers, and methodological experts.
- Gathered information on public perspectives and reviewed the scientific literature on the safety of the childhood immunization schedule.
- Commissioned an independent paper to inform the committee and solicit feedback from the public on study designs for the safety evaluation of different childhood immunization schedules.
- Received comments (both oral & written) from a variety of stakeholders.

Identified Stakeholders

- Academic researchers
- Advocacy groups
- Federal government agencies, departments, and federal advisory committees
- General public (including parents)
- Health care system and providers
- International organizations
- Media
- Nongovernmental organizations
- Philanthropic organizations
- State, local, and tribal governments and public health agencies
- Travel industry
- Vaccine distributors
- Vaccine industry
- Vaccine investors

Stakeholder Concerns **suggested reorg of slide**

- Specific concerns about safety of the schedule were voiced by some parents and others, but the committee found no systematic accounting of concerns across all stakeholders
- The committee endorses the need for systematic research to understand the public's knowledge, beliefs, and concerns about:
 - The childhood immunization schedule and
 - Vaccine-preventable diseases

Stakeholder Concerns [suggest omit and use new version now on slide 7]

- The committee endorses the need for systematic research to understand the public's knowledge, beliefs, and concerns about:
 - The childhood immunization schedule and
 - Vaccine-preventable diseases.
- The committee found that a subset of parents have the most documented safety concerns.
- **Alternate wording: Specific concerns about safety of the schedule were voiced by some parents and others, but the committee found no systematic accounting of concerns across all stakeholders.**

Recommendation 4-1

The committee recommends that NVPO systematically collect and assess evidence regarding public confidence in and concerns about the entire childhood immunization schedule, with the goal to improve communication with health care professionals, and between health care professionals and the public regarding the safety of the schedule.

Scientific Evidence

- The committee searched for, assembled, & summarized information on the association between aspects of the schedule and specific health conditions already available in the literature.
- The concept of the immunization “schedule” is not well developed in the scientific literature.
- The field needs valid and accepted metrics of the entire immunization schedule (the “exposure”) and clearer definitions of health outcomes linked to stakeholders’ concerns (the “outcomes”).
- Evidence from assessments of health outcomes in potentially susceptible subpopulations of children who may have an increased risk of adverse reactions was limited and is characterized by uncertainty about the definition of populations of interest.

Recommendation 5-1

To improve the utility of studies of the entire childhood immunization schedule, the committee recommends that NVPO develop a framework that clarifies and standardizes definitions of:

- key elements of the schedule,
- relevant health outcomes, and
- populations that are potentially susceptible to adverse events.

The Childhood Immunization Schedule and Safety:

Stakeholder Concerns, Scientific
Evidence, and Future Studies

Alfred Berg, M.D., M.P.H

Professor

Department of Family Medicine

University of Washington

School of Medicine

IOM Committee Member

Future Studies

Stakeholders' concerns should be one of the elements used to drive searches for scientific evidence, however, these concerns alone, absent epidemiological or biological evidence, do not warrant the initiation of further study.

- Epidemiological evidence of potential adverse health outcomes associated with elements of the immunization schedule (such as postmarketing signals or indications of elevated risk from observational studies) should exist.
- Biological plausibility supporting hypotheses linking specific aspects of the immunization schedule with particular adverse health outcomes should also be present.

Recommendation 6-1

The committee recommends that HHS incorporate study of the safety of the overall childhood immunization schedule into its processes for setting priorities for research, recognizing stakeholders' concerns and establishing the priorities on the basis of epidemiological evidence, biological plausibility, and feasibility.

Future Studies (cont.)

- Existing systems for the detection of adverse events provide confidence that the existing schedule is safe, and the committee recognizes that the federal government invests considerable resources to ensure vaccine safety.
- Any study that places children in a study group that does not receive vaccines according to existing guidance would be exposing them to greater risk for contracting vaccine-preventable illnesses and is therefore, unethical.

Recommendation 6-2

HHS should refrain from initiating randomized controlled trials of the childhood immunization schedule that compare safety outcomes in fully vaccinated children with those in unvaccinated children or those vaccinated by use of an alternative schedule.

Future Studies (cont.)

- Secondary analyses of existing systems are more promising approaches to examination of the research questions that the committee identified in future studies.
- The committee concludes that the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) is currently the best-suited source of data for studying the childhood immunization schedule. Its utility will be expanded with the addition of more detailed demographic data and family medical histories.
- Newer data collection and surveillance systems (such as FDA's PRISM) offer potential for future studies.

Recommendation 6-3

The committee recommends that the HHS and its partners continue to fund and support VSD to study the safety of the recommended immunization schedule. Furthermore, HHS should consider expanding the collaboration with new health plan members and enhancing the data to improve its utility and generalizability.

Questions?

The full report is available at:

www.iom.edu/childimmunizationschedule

www.IOM.edu